Document 5

Case 3:07-cv-03389-VRW

Page 1 of 3

Filed 07/02/2007

referenced matter pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This demand for trial by jury does not waive Plaintiff's right to move to remand this matter to a state court. DATED: July 2, 2007 KRUTCIK & GEORGGIN By: A. Nicholas Georggin, Esq. Carmine J. Pearl, II, Esq. Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS 

Document 5

Case 3:07-cv-03389-VRW

Page 2 of 3

Filed 07/02/2007

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL (1013a, 2015.5 C.C.P.)

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 26021 Acero, Mission Viejo, California 92691.

On July \_\_\_\_, 2007, I served the foregoing document described as **PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** on the interested parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a scaled envelope addressed as follows:

John P. LeCrone, Esq. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 865 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90017-2566 (213) 633-6800 (213) 633-6899 - FAX

Attorney for DIAMOND PARKING, INC.

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Mission Viejo, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 2, 2007 at Mission Viejo, California.

ennifer Allison